

# A $q$ -analog of Ljunggren's binomial congruence

Armin Straub<sup>1†</sup>

<sup>3</sup>Tulane University, New Orleans, USA. Email: [astraub@tulane.edu](mailto:astraub@tulane.edu)

**Abstract.** We prove a  $q$ -analog of a classical binomial congruence due to Ljunggren which states that

$$\binom{ap}{bp} \equiv \binom{a}{b}$$

modulo  $p^3$  for primes  $p \geq 5$ . This congruence subsumes and builds on earlier congruences by Babbage, Wolstenholme and Glaisher for which we recall existing  $q$ -analogs. Our congruence generalizes an earlier result of Clark.

**Résumé.** to be added

**Keywords:**  $q$ -analogs, binomial coefficients, binomial congruence

## 1 Introduction and notation

Recently,  $q$ -analogs of classical congruences have been studied by several authors including (Cla95), (And99), (SP07), (Pan07), (CP08), (Dil08). Here, we consider the classical congruence

$$\binom{ap}{bp} \equiv \binom{a}{b} \pmod{p^3} \tag{1}$$

which holds true for primes  $p \geq 5$ . This also appears as Problem 1.6 (d) in (Sta97). Congruence (1) was proved in 1952 by Ljunggren, see (Gra97), and subsequently generalized by Jacobsthal, see Remark 6.

Let  $[n]_q := 1 + q + \dots + q^{n-1}$ ,  $[n]_q! := [n]_q [n-1]_q \cdots [1]_q$  and

$$\binom{n}{k}_q := \frac{[n]_q!}{[k]_q! [n-k]_q!}$$

denote the usual  $q$ -analogs of numbers, factorials and binomial coefficients respectively. Observe that  $[n]_1 = n$  so that in the case  $q = 1$  we recover the usual factorials and binomial coefficients as well. Also, recall that the  $q$ -binomial coefficients are polynomials in  $q$  with nonnegative integer coefficients. An introduction to these  $q$ -analogs can be found in (Sta97).

We establish the following  $q$ -analog of (1):

<sup>†</sup>Partially supported by grant NSF-DMS 0713836.

**Theorem 1** For primes  $p \geq 5$  and nonnegative integers  $a, b$ ,

$$\binom{ap}{bp}_q \equiv \binom{a}{b}_{q^{p^2}} - \binom{a}{b+1} \binom{b+1}{2} \frac{p^2-1}{12} (q^p-1)^2 \pmod{[p]_q^3}. \quad (2)$$

The congruence (2) and similar ones to follow are to be understood over the ring of polynomials in  $q$  with integer coefficients. We remark that  $p^2 - 1$  is divisible by 12 for all primes  $p \geq 5$ .

Observe that (2) is indeed a  $q$ -analog of (1): as  $q \rightarrow 1$  we recover (1).

**Example 2** Choosing  $p = 13$ ,  $a = 2$ , and  $b = 1$ , we have

$$\binom{26}{13}_q = 1 + q^{169} - 14(q^{13} - 1)^2 + (1 + q + \dots + q^{12})^3 f(q)$$

where  $f(q) = 14 - 41q + 41q^2 - \dots + q^{132}$  is an irreducible polynomial with integer coefficients. Upon setting  $q = 1$ , we obtain  $\binom{26}{13} \equiv 2 \pmod{13^3}$ .

Since our treatment very much parallels the classical case, we give a brief history of the congruence (1) in the next section before turning to the proof of Theorem 1.

## 2 A bit of history

A classical result of Wilson states that  $(n-1)! + 1$  is divisible by  $n$  if and only if  $n$  is a prime number. “In attempting to discover some analogous expression which should be divisible by  $n^2$ , whenever  $n$  is a prime, but not divisible if  $n$  is a composite number”, (Bab19), Babbage is led to the congruence

$$\binom{2p-1}{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2} \quad (3)$$

for primes  $p \geq 3$ . In 1862 Wolstenholme, (Wol62), discovered (3) to hold modulo  $p^3$ , “for several cases, in testing numerically a result of certain investigations, and after some trouble succeeded in proving it to hold universally” for  $p \geq 5$ . To this end, he proves the fractional congruences

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{i} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}, \quad (4)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{i^2} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad (5)$$

for primes  $p \geq 5$ . Using (4) and (5) he then extends Babbage’s congruence (3) to hold modulo  $p^3$ :

$$\binom{2p-1}{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^3} \quad (6)$$

for all primes  $p \geq 5$ . Note that (6) can be rewritten as  $\binom{2p}{p} \equiv 2 \pmod{p^3}$ . The further generalization of (6) to (1), according to (Gra97), was found by Ljunggren in 1952. The case  $b = 1$  of (1) was obtained by Glaisher, (Gla00), in 1900.

In fact, Wolstenholme's congruence (6) is central to the further generalization (1). This is just as true when considering the  $q$ -analogs of these congruences as we will see here in Lemma 5.

A  $q$ -analog of the congruence of Babbage has been found by Clark (Cla95) who proved that

$$\binom{ap}{bp}_q \equiv \binom{a}{b}_{q^{p^2}} \pmod{[p]_q^2}. \quad (7)$$

We generalize this congruence to obtain the  $q$ -analog (2) of Ljunggren's congruence (1). A result similar to (7) has also been given by Andrews in (And99).

Our proof of the  $q$ -analog proceeds very closely to the history just outlined. Besides the  $q$ -analog (7) of Babbage's congruence (3) we will employ  $q$ -analogs of Wolstenholme's harmonic congruences (4) and (5) which were recently supplied by Shi and Pan, (SP07):

**Theorem 3** For primes  $p \geq 5$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{[i]_q} \equiv -\frac{p-1}{2}(q-1) + \frac{p^2-1}{24}(q-1)^2 [p]_q \pmod{[p]_q^2} \quad (8)$$

as well as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{[i]_q^2} \equiv -\frac{(p-1)(p-5)}{12}(q-1)^2 \pmod{[p]_q}. \quad (9)$$

This generalizes an earlier result (And99) of Andrews.

### 3 A $q$ -analog of Ljunggren's congruence

In the classical case, the typical proof of Ljunggren's congruence (1) starts with the Chu-Vandermonde identity which has the following well-known  $q$ -analog:

**Theorem 4**

$$\binom{m+n}{k}_q = \sum_j \binom{m}{j}_q \binom{n}{k-j}_q q^{j(n-k+j)}.$$

We are now in a position to prove the  $q$ -analog of (1).

**Proof of Theorem 1:** As in (Cla95) we start with the identity

$$\binom{ap}{bp}_q = \sum_{c_1+\dots+c_a=bp} \binom{p}{c_1}_q \binom{p}{c_2}_q \dots \binom{p}{c_a}_q q^{p \sum_{1 \leq i \leq a} (i-1)c_i - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq a} c_i c_j} \quad (10)$$

which follows inductively from the  $q$ -analog of the Chu-Vandermonde identity given in Theorem 4. The summands which are not divisible by  $[p]_q^2$  correspond to the  $c_i$  taking only the values 0 and  $p$ . Since each such summand is determined by the indices  $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_b \leq a$  for which  $c_i = p$ , the total contribution of these terms is

$$\sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_b \leq a} q^{p^2 \sum_{k=1}^b (j_k-1) - p^2 \binom{b}{2}} = \sum_{0 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_b \leq a-b} q^{p^2 \sum_{k=1}^b i_k} = \binom{a}{b}_{q^{p^2}}.$$

This completes the proof of (7) given in (Cla95).

To obtain (2) we now consider those summands in (10) which are divisible by  $[p]_q^2$  but not divisible by  $[p]_q^3$ . These correspond to all but two of the  $c_i$  taking values 0 or  $p$ . More precisely, such a summand is determined by indices  $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_b < j_{b+1} \leq a$ , two subindices  $1 \leq k < \ell \leq b + 1$ , and  $1 \leq d \leq p - 1$  such that

$$c_i = \begin{cases} d & \text{for } i = j_k, \\ p - d & \text{for } i = j_\ell, \\ p & \text{for } i \in \{j_1, \dots, j_{b+1}\} \setminus \{j_k, j_\ell\}, \\ 0 & \text{for } i \notin \{j_1, \dots, j_{b+1}\}. \end{cases}$$

For each fixed choice of the  $j_i$  and  $k, \ell$  the contribution of the corresponding summands is

$$\sum_{d=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{d}_q \binom{p}{p-d}_q q^{p \sum_{1 \leq i \leq a} (i-1)c_i - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq a} c_i c_j}$$

which, using that  $q^p \equiv 1$  modulo  $[p]_q$ , reduces modulo  $[p]_q^3$  to

$$\sum_{d=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{d}_q \binom{p}{p-d}_q q^{d^2} = \binom{2p}{p}_q - [2]_{q^{p^2}}.$$

We conclude that

$$\binom{ap}{bp}_q \equiv \binom{a}{b}_{q^{p^2}} + \binom{a}{b+1} \binom{b+1}{2} \left( \binom{2p}{p}_q - [2]_{q^{p^2}} \right) \pmod{[p]_q^3}. \quad (11)$$

The general result therefore follows from the special case  $a = 2, b = 1$  which is separately proved next.  $\square$

## 4 A $q$ -analog of Wolstenholme's congruence

We have thus shown that, as in the classical case, the congruence (2) can be reduced, via (11), to the case  $a = 2, b = 1$ . The next result therefore is a  $q$ -analog of Wolstenholme's congruence (6).

**Lemma 5** For primes  $p \geq 5$ ,

$$\binom{2p}{p}_q \equiv [2]_{q^{p^2}} - \frac{p^2 - 1}{12} (q^p - 1)^2 \pmod{[p]_q^3}.$$

**Proof:** Using that  $[an]_q = [a]_{q^n} [n]_q$  and  $[n + m]_q = [n]_q + q^n [m]_q$  we compute

$$\binom{2p}{p}_q = \frac{[2p]_q [2p-1]_q \cdots [p+1]_q}{[p]_q [p-1]_q \cdots [1]_q} = \frac{[2]_{q^p}}{[p-1]_q!} \prod_{k=1}^{p-1} \left( [p]_q + q^p [p-k]_q \right)$$

which modulo  $[p]_q^3$  reduces to (note that  $[p-1]_q!$  is relatively prime to  $[p]_q^3$ )

$$[2]_{q^p} \left( q^{(p-1)p} + q^{(p-2)p} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq p-1} \frac{[p]_q}{[i]_q} + q^{(p-3)p} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p-1} \frac{[p]_q [p]_q}{[i]_q [j]_q} \right). \quad (12)$$

Combining the results (8) and (9) of Shi and Pan, (SP07), given in Theorem 3, we deduce that for primes  $p \geq 5$ ,

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p-1} \frac{1}{[i]_q [j]_q} \equiv \frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{6} (q-1)^2 \pmod{[p]_q}. \quad (13)$$

Together with (8) this allows us to rewrite (12) modulo  $[p]_q^3$  as

$$[2]_{q^p} \left( q^{(p-1)p} + q^{(p-2)p} \left( -\frac{p-1}{2} (q^p - 1) + \frac{p^2 - 1}{24} (q^p - 1)^2 \right) + q^{(p-3)p} \frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{6} (q^p - 1)^2 \right).$$

Using the binomial expansion

$$q^{mp} = ((q^p - 1) + 1)^m = \sum_k \binom{m}{k} (q^p - 1)^k$$

to reduce the terms  $q^{mp}$  as well as  $[2]_{q^p} = 1 + q^p$  modulo the appropriate power of  $[p]_q$  we obtain

$$\binom{2p}{p}_q \equiv 2 + p(q^p - 1) + \frac{(p-1)(5p-1)}{12} (q^p - 1)^2 \pmod{[p]_q^3}.$$

Since

$$[2]_{q^{p^2}} \equiv 2 + p(q^p - 1) + \frac{(p-1)p}{2} (q^p - 1)^2 \pmod{[p]_q^3}$$

the result follows.  $\square$

**Remark 6** Jacobsthal, see (Gra97), generalized the congruence (1) to hold modulo  $p^{3+r}$  where  $r$  is the  $p$ -adic valuation of

$$ab(a-b) \binom{a}{b} = 2a \binom{a}{b+1} \binom{b+1}{2}.$$

It would be interesting to see if this generalization has a nice analog in the  $q$ -world.

## Acknowledgements

Most parts of this paper have been written during a visit of the author at Grinnell College. The author wishes to thank Marc Chamberland for his encouraging and helpful support. Partial support of grant NSF-DMS 0713836 is also thankfully acknowledged.

## References

- [And99] George E. Andrews.  $q$ -analogs of the binomial coefficient congruences of Babbage, Wolstenholme and Glaisher. *Discrete Math.*, 204(1):15–25, 1999.
- [Bab19] C. Babbage. Demonstration of a theorem relating to prime numbers. *The Edinburgh Philosophical Journal*, 1:46–49, 1819.
- [Cla95] W. Edwin Clark.  $q$ -analogue of a binomial coefficient congruence. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 18(1):197–200, 1995.
- [CP08] Robin Chapman and Hao Pan.  $q$ -analogues of Wilson’s theorem. *Int. J. Number Theory*, 4(4):539–547, 2008.
- [Dil08] Karl Dilcher. Determinant expressions for  $q$ -harmonic congruences and degenerate Bernoulli numbers. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 15(1), 2008.
- [Gla00] J.W.L. Glaisher. Residues of binomial-theorem coefficients with respect to  $p^3$ . *Quart. J. Math., Oxford Series 31*, 110–124, 1900.
- [Gra97] Andrew Granville. Arithmetic properties of binomial coefficients I: Binomial coefficients modulo prime powers. *CMS Conf. Proc.*, 20:253–275, 1997.
- [Pan07] Hao Pan. A  $q$ -analogue of Lehmer’s congruence. *Acta Arith.*, 128(4):303–318, 2007.
- [SP07] Ling-Ling Shi and Hao Pan. A  $q$ -analogue of Wolstenholme’s harmonic series congruence. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 114(6):529–531, 2007.
- [Sta97] Richard P. Stanley. *Enumerative Combinatorics, Volume 1*. Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [Wol62] J. Wolstenholme. On certain properties of prime numbers. *The Quarterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 5:35–39, 1862.