Tools for special functions and special numbers

Graduate Student Colloquium Tulane University, New Orleans

Armin Straub

October 15, 2013

University of Illinois at Urbana–Champaign

& Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Bonn

$ISC - PSLQ - OEIS - CAD - WZ$

THE TOOLS TODAY

- **ISC** Inverse Symbolic Calculator
- PSLQ Lattice Reduction Algorithm
	- **OEIS** On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences
	- CAD Cylindrical Algebraic Decomposition
		- WZ Wilf-Zeilberger Theory

Random walks

- We study random walks in the plane consisting of n steps. Each step is of length 1 and is taken in a randomly chosen direction.
- We are interested in the distance traveled in n steps.

For instance, how large is this distance on average? Ω

• Probability density: $p_n(x)$

• Karl Pearson asked for $p_n(x)$ in Nature in 1905.

This famous question coined the term random walk.

The Problem of the Random Walk.

CAN any of your readers refer me to a work wherein I should find a solution of the following problem, or failing the knowledge of any existing solution provide me with an original one? I should be extremely grateful for aid in the matter.

A man starts from a point O and walks I vards in a straight line; he then turns through any angle whatever and walks another I yards in a second straight line. He repeats this process n times. I require the probability that after these n stretches he is at a distance between r and $r + \delta r$ from his starting point, O.

The problem is one of considerable interest, but I have only succeeded in obtaining an integrated solution for two stretches. I think, however, that a solution ought to be found, if only in the form of a series in powers of $1/n$, when n is large. KARL PEARSON.

The Gables, East Ilsley, Berks.

Applications include:

- dispersion of mosquitoes
- random migration of micro-organisms
- phenomenon of laser speckle

Long random walks

" The lesson of Lord Rayleigh's solution is that in open country the most probable place to find a drunken man who is at all capable of keeping on his feet is somewhere near his starting point! Karl Pearson, 1905 man who is at all capable or keeping on his reet is
somewhere near his starting point!
Karl Pearson, 1905
[Tools for special functions and special numbers](#page-0-0) *Armin Straub*

Moments

- $\bullet\,$ The moments of a RV X are $E(X)$, $E(X^2)$, $E(X^3)$, \ldots
- If X has probability density $f(x)$ then

$$
E(X^s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^s f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x
$$

FACT The moments $E(X^s)$ are analytic in s. (if, e.g., $f(x)$ is compactly supported)

Moments

- $\bullet\,$ The moments of a RV X are $E(X)$, $E(X^2)$, $E(X^3)$, \ldots
- If X has probability density $f(x)$ then

$$
E(X^s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^s f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x
$$

FACT The moments $E(X^s)$ are analytic in s. (if, e.g., $f(x)$ is compactly supported)

- Represent the kth step by the complex number $e^{2\pi i x_k}$.
- The sth moment of the distance after n steps is:

$$
W_n(s) := \int_{[0,1]^n} \bigg| \sum_{k=1}^n e^{2\pi x_k i} \bigg|^s \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}
$$

In particular, $W_n(1)$ is the average distance after n steps.

• The average distance in two steps:

$$
W_2(1) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |e^{2\pi ix} + e^{2\pi iy}| \, dx dy = ?
$$

• The average distance in two steps:

$$
W_2(1) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |e^{2\pi ix} + e^{2\pi iy}| \, dx dy = ?
$$

=
$$
\int_0^1 |1 + e^{2\pi iy}| \, dy
$$

• The average distance in two steps:

$$
W_2(1) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |e^{2\pi ix} + e^{2\pi iy}| \, dx \, dy = ?
$$

=
$$
\int_0^1 |1 + e^{2\pi iy}| \, dy
$$

=
$$
\int_0^1 2 \cos(\pi y) \, dy
$$

 $|1 + e^{2\pi iy}|$ $=$ $\left|1+\left(\cos \pi y + i \sin \pi y\right)\right|$ $= 2 \cos(\pi y)$

• The average distance in two steps:

$$
W_2(1) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |e^{2\pi ix} + e^{2\pi iy}| dx dy = ?
$$

\n
$$
\frac{|1 + e^{2\pi iy}|}{|1 + (\cos \pi y + i \sin \pi y)^2|} = \int_0^1 |1 + e^{2\pi iy}| dy
$$

\n
$$
= 2 \cos(\pi y)
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{4}{\pi} \approx 1.27324
$$

• The average distance in two steps:

$$
W_2(1) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |e^{2\pi ix} + e^{2\pi iy}| dx dy = ?
$$

\n
$$
= \int_0^1 |1 + e^{2\pi iy}| dy
$$

\n
$$
= 2 \cos(\pi y)
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \cos(\pi y) dy
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{4}{2} \approx 1.27324
$$

• Mathematica 7 and Maple 14 think the double integral is 0.

Better: Mathematica 8 and 9 just don't evaluate the double integral.

• The average distance in two steps:

$$
W_2(1) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |e^{2\pi ix} + e^{2\pi iy}| \, dx \, dy = ?
$$

\n
$$
\frac{|1 + e^{2\pi iy}|}{|1 + (\cos \pi y + i \sin \pi y)^2|} = \int_0^1 |1 + e^{2\pi iy}| \, dy
$$

\n
$$
= 2 \cos(\pi y)
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{4}{\pi} \approx 1.27324
$$

- Mathematica 7 and Maple 14 think the double integral is 0. Better: Mathematica 8 and 9 just don't evaluate the double integral.
- This is the average length of a random arc on a unit circle.

9 / 26

DEF The *s*th moment
$$
W_n(s)
$$
 of the density p_n :
\n
$$
W_n(s) := \int_0^\infty x^s p_n(x) dx = \int_{[0,1]^n} \left| e^{2\pi i x_1} + \dots + e^{2\pi i x_n} \right|^s dx
$$

DEF The *s*th moment
$$
W_n(s)
$$
 of the density p_n :
\n
$$
W_n(s) := \int_0^\infty x^s p_n(x) dx = \int_{[0,1]^n} \left| e^{2\pi i x_1} + \ldots + e^{2\pi i x_n} \right|^s dx
$$

- On a desktop:
- $W_3(1) \approx 1.57459723755189365749$ $W_4(1) \approx 1.79909248$ $W_5(1) \approx 2.00816$

DEF The *s*th moment
$$
W_n(s)
$$
 of the density p_n :
\n
$$
W_n(s) := \int_0^\infty x^s p_n(x) dx = \int_{[0,1]^n} \left| e^{2\pi i x_1} + \ldots + e^{2\pi i x_n} \right|^s dx
$$

- On a desktop:
- $W_3(1) \approx 1.57459723755189365749$ $W_4(1) \approx 1.79909248$ $W_5(1) \approx 2.00816$
- On a supercomputer: Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 256 cores

 $W_5(1) \approx 2.0081618$

DEF The *s*th moment
$$
W_n(s)
$$
 of the density p_n :
\n
$$
W_n(s) := \int_0^\infty x^s p_n(x) dx = \int_{[0,1]^n} \left| e^{2\pi i x_1} + \ldots + e^{2\pi i x_n} \right|^s dx
$$

- On a desktop:
- $W_3(1) \approx 1.57459723755189365749$ $W_4(1) \approx 1.79909248$ $W_5(1) \approx 2.00816$
- On a supercomputer: Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 256 cores

 $W_5(1) \approx 2.0081618$

• Hard to evaluate numerically to high precision. Monte-Carlo integration gives approximations with an asymptotic error of $O(1/\sqrt{N})$ where N is the number of sample points.

DEF The *s*th moment
$$
W_n(s)
$$
 of the density p_n :
\n
$$
W_n(s) := \int_0^\infty x^s p_n(x) dx = \int_{[0,1]^n} \left| e^{2\pi i x_1} + \dots + e^{2\pi i x_n} \right|^s dx
$$

DEF The *s*th moment
$$
W_n(s)
$$
 of the density p_n :
\n
$$
W_n(s) := \int_0^\infty x^s p_n(x) dx = \int_{[0,1]^n} \left| e^{2\pi i x_1} + \dots + e^{2\pi i x_n} \right|^s dx
$$

 $W_2(1) = \frac{4}{\pi}$

DEF The *s*th moment
$$
W_n(s)
$$
 of the density p_n :

\n
$$
W_n(s) := \int_0^\infty x^s p_n(x) \, dx = \int_{[0,1]^n} \left| e^{2\pi i x_1} + \ldots + e^{2\pi i x_n} \right|^s \, dx
$$
\n
$$
\frac{n}{2} \quad \begin{array}{ccc|ccc|} s = 1 & s = 2 & s = 3 & s = 4 & s = 5 & s = 6 & s = 7 \\ \hline 2 & 1.273 & 2.000 & 3.395 & 6.000 & 10.87 & 20.00 & 37.25 \\ 3 & 1.575 & 3.000 & 6.452 & 15.00 & 36.71 & 93.00 & 241.5 \\ 4 & 1.799 & 4.000 & 10.12 & 28.00 & 82.65 & 256.0 & 822.3 \\ 5 & 2.008 & 5.000 & 14.29 & 45.00 & 152.3 & 545.0 & 2037. \\ 6 & 2.194 & 6.000 & 18.91 & 66.00 & 248.8 & 996.0 & 4186. \end{array}
$$
\n
$$
W_2(1) = \frac{4}{\pi} \quad W_3(1) = 1.57459723755189\ldots = ?
$$

DEF The *s*th moment
$$
W_n(s)
$$
 of the density p_n :
\n
$$
W_n(s) := \int_0^\infty x^s p_n(x) dx = \int_{[0,1]^n} |e^{2\pi i x_1} + \ldots + e^{2\pi i x_n}|^s dx
$$

For instance, the sequence $W_3(2k)$ is $1, 3, 15, 93, 639, 4653, \ldots$

This site is supported by donations to The OEIS Foundation.

Search: seq:1,3,15,93

This site is supported by donations to The OEIS Foundation.

• Based on the observation that

$$
W_3(2k)=\sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j}^2\binom{2j}{j},
$$

knowledge of modular forms allows us to deduce:

THM Borwein-Nuyens-S-Wan, 2010

$$
W_3(1) = \frac{3}{16} \frac{2^{1/3}}{\pi^4} \Gamma^6 \left(\frac{1}{3}\right) + \frac{27}{4} \frac{2^{2/3}}{\pi^4} \Gamma^6 \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)
$$

= 1.57459723755189...

Modular forms

" Modular forms are functions on the complex plane that are inordinately symmetric. They satisfy so many internal symmetries that their mere existence seem like accidents. But they do exist. Barry Mazur (BBC Interview, "The Proof", 1997) *"*

DEF Actions of
$$
\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})
$$
:

\n\n- on $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$ by $\gamma \cdot \tau = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}$,
\n- on $f : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ by $(f|_k \gamma)(\tau) = (c\tau + d)^{-k} f(\gamma \cdot \tau)$.
\n
\n**EG** $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

\n $T \cdot \tau = \tau + 1$, $S \cdot \tau = -\frac{1}{\tau}$

τ

Modular forms

" There's a saying attributed to Eichler that there are five fundamental operations of arithmetic: addition, subtraction, multiplication, division, and modular forms.

Andrew Wiles (BBC Interview, "The Proof", 1997)

DEF A function
$$
f : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}
$$
 is a modular form of weight k if

- $f|_{k} \gamma = f$ for all $\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$,
- f is holomorphic (including at the cusp $i\infty$).

EG
$$
f(\tau + 1) = f(\tau), \qquad \tau^{-k} f(-1/\tau) = f(\tau).
$$

- Similarly, MFs w.r.t. finite-index $\Gamma \leqslant \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$
- Spaces of MFs finite dimensional, Hecke operators, . . .

\bullet The Dedekind eta function $(q=e^{2\pi i\tau})$

$$
\eta(\tau) = q^{1/24} \prod_{n \geqslant 1} (1 - q^n)
$$

transforms as

$$
\eta(\tau + 1) = e^{\pi i/12} \eta(\tau), \qquad \eta(-1/\tau) = \sqrt{-i\tau} \eta(\tau).
$$

EG $\Delta(\tau) = (2\pi)^{12} \eta(\tau)^{24}$ is a modular form of weight 12.

• The even moments $1, 3, 15, 93, 639, \ldots$

$$
W_3(2k) = \sum_{j=0}^k {k \choose j}^2 {2j \choose j}
$$

have the modular parametrization

• The even moments $1, 3, 15, 93, 639, \ldots$

$$
W_3(2k) = \sum_{j=0}^k {k \choose j}^2 {2j \choose j}
$$

have the modular parametrization

The values of modular functions at quadratic irrationalities $\tau \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ are algebraic! EG

PSLQ predicts that for the above modular function $x(\tau)$, the value $x(i/3) \approx 0.52754$ has minimal polynomial $1-6x^4-24x^6-3x^8.$

• How does the ISC recognize numbers?

- How does the ISC recognize numbers?
- PSLQ takes numbers $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ and tries to find integers $m = (m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n)$, not all zero, such that

$$
\boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{m} = m_1 x_1 + \ldots + m_n x_n = 0.
$$

The vector m is called an integer relation for x .

In case that no relation is found, PSLQ provides a lower bound for the norm of any potential integer relation.

- How does the ISC recognize numbers?
- PSLQ takes numbers $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ and tries to find integers $m = (m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n)$, not all zero, such that

$$
\boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{m}=m_1x_1+\ldots+m_nx_n=0.
$$

The vector m is called an integer relation for x .

In case that no relation is found, PSLQ provides a lower bound for the norm of any potential integer relation.

EG Is
$$
x = 0.31783724519578224473...
$$
 algebraic?
\n
$$
\ln[1] = \text{PSLQ}[\{1, x, x^2, x^3, x^4\}]
$$
\n
$$
\text{Out}[1] = \{1, 0, -10, 0, 1\}
$$
\nThat is, x likely has minimal polynomial $1 - 10x^2 + x^4$.
\nTherefore, $x = \sqrt{3} - \sqrt{2}$.

• A well-known fact: $sin((2n-1)x)$ is a linear combination of $\sin(x)$, $\sin^3(x)$, ..., $\sin^{2n-1}(x)$

• A well-known fact: $sin((2n-1)x)$ is a linear combination of $\sin(x)$, $\sin^3(x)$, ..., $\sin^{2n-1}(x)$

EG

\n
$$
\inf_{\text{In}[1]:=}\n\text{With}[\{x=1\},\text{PSLQ}[\text{N}][\{\text{Sin}[5x],\text{Sin}[x],\text{Sin}[x]^3,\text{Sin}[x]^5\},20]]]
$$

\n
$$
\text{Out}[1]=\{-1,5,-20,16\}
$$

\nIn other words,

\n
$$
\sin(5x) = 5\sin(x) - 20\sin^3(x) + 16\sin^5(x).
$$

Cylindrical Algebraic Decomposition

Arithmetic mean \geq geometric mean $\text{In}[1]:=\text{CylindricalDecomposition}[(a+b)/2\geqslant \text{Sqrt}[ab],\{a,b\}]$ Out[1]= $a \geqslant 0 \wedge b \geqslant 0$ EG

Cylindrical Algebraic Decomposition

Arithmetic mean \geq geometric mean $\text{In}[\text{I}] := \text{CylindricalDecomposition}[(a+b)/2 \geqslant \text{Sqrt}[ab], \{a,b\}]$ Out[1]= $a \geqslant 0 \wedge b \geqslant 0$ EG

If the sum of four positive numbers is $4c$ and the sum of their If the sum of four positive numbers is 4c and the sum of their
squares is $8c^2$, then none of the numbers can exceed $(1+\sqrt{3})c$. EG

Arithmetic mean \geqslant geometric mean $\text{In}[1]:=\text{CylindricalDecomposition}[(a+b)/2\geqslant \text{Sqrt}[ab],\{a,b\}]$ Out[1]= $a \geqslant 0 \wedge b \geqslant 0$ EG

If the sum of four positive numbers is $4c$ and the sum of their If the sum of four positive numbers is 4c and the sum of their
squares is $8c^2$, then none of the numbers can exceed $(1+\sqrt{3})c$. $\ln[2]:$ CylindricalDecomposition $\mathbb{Exists}[\{a_2, a_3, a_4\},\]$ $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq a_3 \geq a_4 > 0$ $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 = 4c \wedge$ $a_1^2+a_2^2+a_3^2+a_4^2==8c^2],\{c,a_1\}]$ EG

$$
0 \text{ut}[2] = c > 0 \land 2c < a_1 \leq (1 + \sqrt{3})c
$$

$$
F(x_1, ..., x_d) = \sum_{n_1, ..., n_d \geq 0} a_{n_1, ..., n_d} x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_d^{n_d}
$$

is positive if $a_{n_1,...,n_d} > 0$ for all indices.

$$
F(x_1, ..., x_d) = \sum_{n_1, ..., n_d \ge 0} a_{n_1, ..., n_d} x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_d^{n_d}
$$

is **positive** if $a_{n_1,...,n_d} > 0$ for all indices.

An obviously positive rational function: 1 $\frac{1}{1-x-y+xy} = \frac{1}{(1-x)}$ $(1-x)(1-y)$ EG

$$
F(x_1, ..., x_d) = \sum_{n_1, ..., n_d \ge 0} a_{n_1, ..., n_d} x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_d^{n_d}
$$

is **positive** if $a_{n_1,...,n_d} > 0$ for all indices.

An obviously positive rational function: 1 $\frac{1}{1-x-y+xy} = \frac{1}{(1-x)}$ $(1-x)(1-y)$ EG 1 $1 - x - y + \lambda xy$ is positive if and only if $\lambda \leq 1$. THM

$$
F(x_1, ..., x_d) = \sum_{n_1, ..., n_d \ge 0} a_{n_1, ..., n_d} x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_d^{n_d}
$$

is **positive** if $a_{n_1,...,n_d} > 0$ for all indices.

An obviously positive rational function: 1 $\frac{1}{1-x-y+xy} = \frac{1}{(1-x)}$ $(1-x)(1-y)$ EG

The following rational function is positive: CONJ 1 $1 - (x + y + z + w) + \frac{2}{3}(xy + xz + xw + yz + yw + zw)$ This is a rescaled version of $1/e_2(1-x, 1-y, 1-z, 1-w)$. Askey– **Gasper** 1972

Positivity of rational functions

The Askey–Gasper rational function $A(x, y, z)$ and the Szegő rational function $S(x, y, z)$ are positive. EG

$$
A(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{1 - (x + y + z) + 4xyz}
$$

$$
S(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{1 - (x + y + z) + \frac{3}{4}(xy + yz + zx)}
$$

There is a **positivity-preserving** operator T such that $T \cdot A = S$. THM S 2007

Positivity of rational functions

The Askey–Gasper rational function $A(x, y, z)$ and the Szegő rational function $S(x, y, z)$ are positive. EG

$$
A(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{1 - (x + y + z) + 4xyz}
$$

$$
S(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{1 - (x + y + z) + \frac{3}{4}(xy + yz + zx)}
$$

There is a positivity-preserving operator T such that $T \cdot A = S$. THM S 2007

The diagonal Taylor terms of A are given by EG

$$
[x^n y^n z^n] A(x, y, z) = \sum_{k=0}^n {n \choose k}^3.
$$

By WZ, both sides satisfy the recurrence

$$
(n+1)^2 a_{n+1} = (7n^2 + 7n + 2)a_n + 8n^2 a_{n-1}.
$$

The diagonal Taylor terms of $S(2x, 2y, 2z)$, namely EG

 $1, 12, 198, 3720, 75690, 1626912, \ldots$

satisfy the recurrence

 $2(n+1)^2 s_{n+1} = 3(27n^2 + 27n + 8) s_n - 81(3n-1)(3n+1)s_{n-1}.$

The diagonal Taylor terms of $S(2x, 2y, 2z)$, namely $1, 12, 198, 3720, 75690, 1626912, \ldots$ satisfy the recurrence $2(n+1)^2 s_{n+1} = 3(27n^2 + 27n + 8) s_n - 81(3n-1)(3n+1)s_{n-1}.$ EG To prove positivity from the recurrence, apply CAD to the formula

 $(\forall n, A, B)$ $n \geqslant 1, A \geqslant 0, B \geqslant \lambda A \implies C \geqslant \lambda B$ where $2(n+1)^2C = 3(27n^2 + 27n + 8)B - 81(3n-1)(3n+1)A$.

The diagonal Taylor terms of $S(2x, 2y, 2z)$, namely $1, 12, 198, 3720, 75690, 1626912, \ldots$ satisfy the recurrence $2(n+1)^2 s_{n+1} = 3(27n^2 + 27n + 8) s_n - 81(3n-1)(3n+1)s_{n-1}.$ EG

To prove positivity from the recurrence, apply CAD to the formula

$$
(\forall n, A, B) \quad n \geqslant 1, A \geqslant 0, B \geqslant \lambda A \implies C \geqslant \lambda B
$$
\nwhere $2(n+1)^2 C = 3(27n^2 + 27n + 8)B - 81(3n - 1)(3n + 1)A$.

$$
\begin{aligned} \text{In}[1] &\coloneqq \text{With}[\{C=\dots\},\\ &\text{CylindricalDecomposition}[\text{ForAll}[\{n,A,B\},\\ &n\geqslant 1 \land B \geqslant \lambda A \land A \geqslant 0, C \geqslant \lambda B], \{\lambda\}]] \\ \text{Out}[1] &\coloneqq \ 27/2 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant 3/8(31+\sqrt{385}) \end{aligned}
$$

• The Kauers–Zeilberger rational function

1 $1 - (x + y + z + w) + 2(yzw + xzw + xyw + xyz) + 4xyzw$

is conjectured to be positive.

Its positivity implies the positivity of the Askey–Gasper function

$$
\frac{1}{1-(x+y+z+w)+\frac{2}{3}(xy+xz+xw+yz+yw+zw)}.
$$

PROP The Kauers-Zeilberger function has diagonal coefficients S-Zudilin 2013

$$
d_n = \sum_{k=0}^n {n \choose k}^2 {2k \choose n}^2.
$$

Under what condition(s) is the positivity of a rational function Q

$$
h(x_1, ..., x_d) = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=0}^d c_k e_k(x_1, ..., x_d)}
$$

implied by the positivity of its diagonal?

• Is the positivity of $h(x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}, 0)$ a sufficient condition?

1 **EG** $\frac{1}{1+x+y}$ has positive diagonal coefficients but is not positive.

Under what condition(s) is the positivity of a rational function Q

$$
h(x_1, ..., x_d) = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=0}^d c_k e_k(x_1, ..., x_d)}
$$

implied by the positivity of its diagonal?

• Is the positivity of $h(x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}, 0)$ a sufficient condition?

1 **EG** $\frac{1}{1+x+y}$ has positive diagonal coefficients but is not positive.

THM
\nS-Zudillin
\n2013
\n
$$
h(x, y) = \frac{1}{1 + c_1(x + y) + c_2xy}
$$

is positive iff $h(x, 0)$ and the diagonal of $h(x, y)$ are positive.

Drunken birds

Drunken birds

"

" A drunk man will find his way home, but a drunk bird may get lost forever. Shizuo Kakutani, 1911–2004

THANK YOU!

Slides for this talk will be available from my website: <http://arminstraub.com/talks>

A. Straub, W. Zudilin Positivity of rational functions and their diagonals Preprint, 2013

J. Borwein, A. Straub, J. Wan, W. Zudilin (appendix by D. Zagier) Densities of short uniform random walks Canadian Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 64, Nr. 5, 2012, p. 961-990

J. Borwein, D. Nuyens, A. Straub, J. Wan Some arithmetic properties of short random walk integrals The Ramanujan Journal, Vol. 26, Nr. 1, 2011, p. 109-132

A. Straub

Positivity of Szegö's rational function Advances in Applied Mathematics, Vol. 41, Issue 2, Aug 2008, p. 255-264