# An Analog of Euler's Theorem on Integer Partitions

Mathematics Colloquium University of South Alabama

Armin Straub

<span id="page-0-0"></span>Sept 15, 2016

University of South Alabama







D  

$$
G(x) = \sum_{n\geq 0} F_n x^n = x + \sum_{n\geq 2} (F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}) x^n
$$

The famous **Fibonacci numbers**  $F_n$  $0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144, 233, 377, \ldots$ are recursively defined via  $F_0 = 0$ ,  $F_1 = 1$ ,  $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$ . Their generating function is  $\sum$  $n\geqslant 0$  $F_n x^n = \frac{x}{1-x}$  $\frac{x}{1-x-x^2}$ . EG

D  
\n
$$
G(x) = \sum_{n\geq 0} F_n x^n = x + \sum_{n\geq 2} (F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}) x^n
$$
\n
$$
= x + xG(x) + x^2 G(x)
$$

The famous **Fibonacci numbers**  $F_n$  $0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144, 233, 377, \ldots$ are recursively defined via  $F_0 = 0, \quad F_1 = 1, \quad F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}.$ Their generating function is  $\sum$  $n\geqslant 0$  $F_n x^n = \frac{x}{1-x}$  $\frac{x}{1-x-x^2}$ . EG

# Benefits of generating functions

We can learn a lot about a sequence from its generating function.

- closed formulas
- identities between this and other sequences
- asymptotic behaviour
- congruences

• . . .

 $\sum$  $n\geqslant 0$  $F_n x^n = \frac{x}{1-x}$  $1 - x - x^2$ EG

# Benefits of generating functions

We can learn a lot about a sequence from its generating function.

- closed formulas
- identities between this and other sequences
- asymptotic behaviour
- congruences

• . . .

 $\sum$  $n\geqslant 0$  $F_n x^n = \frac{x}{1-x}$  $1 - x - x^2$ • singularities at  $-\varphi \approx -1.618$ ,  $-\bar{\varphi} \approx 0.618$  with  $\varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ 2 • radius of convergence is  $|\bar{\varphi}| = \varphi^{-1}$ EG

# Benefits of generating functions

We can learn a lot about a sequence from its generating function.

- closed formulas
- identities between this and other sequences
- asymptotic behaviour
- congruences

• . . .

 $\sum$  $n\geqslant 0$  $F_n x^n = \frac{x}{1-x}$  $1 - x - x^2$ • singularities at  $-\varphi \approx -1.618$ ,  $-\bar{\varphi} \approx 0.618$  with  $\varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ 2 • radius of convergence is  $|\bar{\varphi}| = \varphi^{-1}$ Therefore,  $\limsup F_n^{1/n} = \varphi$ .  $n \rightarrow \infty$ EG

# Rational generating functions



# Rational generating functions



# Rational generating functions



• This can be done for any sequence generated by a rational function. Such sequences are called C-finite.

 $\Omega$  In how many ways can a product like abcd be interpreted?

#### In this case, there are five ways: EG

 $((ab)c)d, (a(bc))d, (ab)(cd), a((bc)d), a(b(cd))$ 

 $\mathsf Q$  In how many ways can a product like abcd be interpreted?

In this case, there are five ways: EG

 $((ab)c)d, (a(bc))d, (ab)(cd), a((bc)d), a(b(cd))$ 

• The Catalan number  $C_n$  counts the the number of ways to interpret a product of  $n + 1$  terms. 1, 1, 2, 5, 14, 42, 132, 429, 1430, 4862, 16796,...



Compiles 214 different objects from "combinatorics, algebra, analysis, number theory, probability theory, geometry, topology, and other areas" enumerated by  $C_n$ .

 $\mathsf Q$  In how many ways can a product like abcd be interpreted?

In this case, there are five ways: EG

 $((ab)c)d, (a(bc))d, (ab)(cd), a((bc)d), a(b(cd))$ 

- The Catalan number  $C_n$  counts the the number of ways to interpret a product of  $n + 1$  terms. 1, 1, 2, 5, 14, 42, 132, 429, 1430, 4862, 16796, ...
- Write  $x_0x_1 \cdots x_{n+1}$  as  $(x_0x_1 \cdots x_k)(x_{k+1}x_{k+2} \cdots x_{n+1})$  to find:

 $C_{n+1} = \sum_{n=1}^{n}$  $_{k=0}$  $C_kC_{n-k}$ ,  $C_0 = 1$ LEM Segner

R. Stanley Catalan Numbers Cambridge University Press, 222 p., 2015. Compiles 214 different objects from "combinatorics, algebra, analysis, number theory, probability theory, geometry, topology, and other areas" enumerated by  $C_n$ .

[An Analog of Euler's Theorem on Integer Partitions](#page-0-0) Armin Straub Armin Straub Armin Straub





$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n+1} x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^n C_k C_{n-k} \right) x^n
$$



$$
\frac{F(x) - 1}{x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n+1} x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n} C_k C_{n-k} \right) x^n
$$



$$
\frac{F(x) - 1}{x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n+1} x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n} C_k C_{n-k} \right) x^n = F(x)^2
$$



• In terms of the generating function  $F(x) = \sum^{\infty}C_n x^n$ , this becomes:  $n=0$ 

$$
\frac{F(x) - 1}{x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n+1} x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n} C_k C_{n-k} \right) x^n = F(x)^2
$$

Solving for  $F(x)$ , we find that

$$
F(x) = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4x}}{2x}.
$$



• In terms of the generating function  $F(x) = \sum^{\infty}C_n x^n$ , this becomes:  $n=0$ 

$$
\frac{F(x) - 1}{x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n+1} x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n} C_k C_{n-k} \right) x^n = F(x)^2
$$

Solving for  $F(x)$ , we find that

$$
F(x) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4x}}{2x}.
$$



 $\bullet \,$  At a glance, we see  $\limsup C_n^{1/n}$  $n\rightarrow\infty$ It is easy to be much more precise here.

Show that  $C_n$  also counts the number of permutations of  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ that are 123-avoiding. That is, those permutations  $\pi_1 \pi_2 \dots \pi_n$  such that we do not have  $i < j < k$  with  $\pi_i < \pi_j < \pi_k$ . EX

For instance, 2314 is not 123-avoiding because it contains 234 as a substring.

[An Analog of Euler's Theorem on Integer Partitions](#page-0-0) Armin Straub Armin Straub Armin Straub



- $\bullet \,$  At a glance, we see  $\limsup C_n^{1/n}$ It is easy to be much more precise here.
- Expanding via the binomial series and simplifying,

$$
C_n = -\frac{1}{2}(-4)^{n+1} \binom{1/2}{n+1}
$$

Show that  $C_n$  also counts the number of permutations of  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ that are 123-avoiding. That is, those permutations  $\pi_1 \pi_2 \dots \pi_n$  such that we do not have  $i < j < k$  with  $\pi_i < \pi_j < \pi_k$ . EX

For instance, 2314 is not 123-avoiding because it contains 234 as a substring.

LEM  

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n x^n = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4x}}{2x}
$$

- $\bullet \,$  At a glance, we see  $\limsup C_n^{1/n}$ It is easy to be much more precise here.
- Expanding via the binomial series and simplifying,

$$
C_n = -\frac{1}{2}(-4)^{n+1} \binom{1/2}{n+1} = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}.
$$

Show that  $C_n$  also counts the number of permutations of  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ that are 123-avoiding. That is, those permutations  $\pi_1 \pi_2 \dots \pi_n$  such that we do not have  $i < j < k$  with  $\pi_i < \pi_j < \pi_k$ . EX

For instance, 2314 is not 123-avoiding because it contains 234 as a substring.

LEM  

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n x^n = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4x}}{2x}
$$

- $\bullet \,$  At a glance, we see  $\limsup C_n^{1/n}$ It is easy to be much more precise here.
- Expanding via the binomial series and simplifying,

$$
C_n = -\frac{1}{2}(-4)^{n+1} \binom{1/2}{n+1} = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}.
$$

• In particular, using Stirling's formula, 
$$
n! \sim \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n
$$

$$
C_n \sim \frac{4^n}{n^{3/2}\sqrt{\pi}}.
$$

Show that  $C_n$  also counts the number of permutations of  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ that are 123-avoiding. That is, those permutations  $\pi_1 \pi_2 \dots \pi_n$  such that we do not have  $i < j < k$  with  $\pi_i < \pi_j < \pi_k$ . EX

For instance, 2314 is not 123-avoiding because it contains 234 as a substring.

• There are 7 integer partitions of 5:

5, 4 + 1, 3 + 2, 3 + 1 + 1, 2 + 2 + 1, 2 + 1 + 1 + 1, 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1

• It is common to represent each partition by its Young diagram:



• There are 7 integer partitions of 5:

5, 4 + 1, 3 + 2, 3 + 1 + 1, 2 + 2 + 1, 2 + 1 + 1 + 1, 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1

• It is common to represent each partition by its Young diagram:



•  $p(n)$  is the number of partitions of n.

 $1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 15, 22, 30, 42, 56, 77, 101, 135, \ldots$ 



$$
(1 + x + x2 + x3 +...)
$$
  
\n
$$
(1 + x2 + x2.2 + x3.2 +...)
$$
  
\n
$$
(1 + x3 + x2.3 + x3.3 +...)
$$
  
\n
$$
(1 + x4 + x2.4 + x3.4 +...)
$$
  
\n
$$
\vdots
$$











$$
\begin{array}{c}\n\text{EG} \\
\prod_{k\geqslant 1}\frac{1}{1-x^{2k-1}}\n\end{array}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}\n\mathbf{EG} \quad \prod_{k \geqslant 1} \frac{1}{1-x^{2k-1}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{\text{odd}}(n) x^n\n\end{aligned}
$$



**EG** 
$$
\prod_{k \geqslant 1} \frac{1}{1 - x^{2k-1}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{\text{odd}}(n) x^n \qquad \prod_{k \geqslant 1} (1 + x^k)
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}\n\text{EG} \quad \prod_{k \geqslant 1} \frac{1}{1 - x^{2k-1}} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{\text{odd}}(n) x^n \qquad \prod_{k \geqslant 1} (1 + x^k) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{\text{distinct}}(n) x^n\n\end{aligned}
$$

The number of partitions of  $n$  into distinct parts equals the number of partitions of  $n$  into odd parts. THM Euler



 $\infty$ 

The number of partitions of  $n$  into distinct parts equals the number of partitions of  $n$  into odd parts. THM Euler

Euler famously proved his claim using a very elegant manipula-proof tion of generating functions:

$$
\sum_{n=0} p_{\text{distinct}}(n) x^n = (1+x)(1+x^2)(1+x^3) \cdots
$$

Bijective proofs for instance by Sylvester.

 $\infty$ 

The number of partitions of  $n$  into distinct parts equals the number of partitions of  $n$  into odd parts. THM Euler

Euler famously proved his claim using a very elegant manipula-proof tion of generating functions:

$$
\sum_{n=0} p_{\text{distinct}}(n)x^n = (1+x)(1+x^2)(1+x^3)\cdots
$$

$$
= \frac{1-x^2}{1-x}\frac{1-x^4}{1-x^2}\frac{1-x^6}{1-x^3}\cdots
$$

Bijective proofs for instance by Sylvester.

[An Analog of Euler's Theorem on Integer Partitions](#page-0-0) Armin Straub Armin Straub Armin Straub

The number of partitions of  $n$  into distinct parts equals the number of partitions of  $n$  into odd parts. THM Euler

Euler famously proved his claim using a very elegant manipula-proof tion of generating functions:

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{\text{distinct}}(n) x^n = (1+x)(1+x^2)(1+x^3) \cdots
$$

$$
= \frac{1-x^2}{1-x} \frac{1-x^4}{1-x^2} \frac{1-x^6}{1-x^3} \cdots
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{1-x} \frac{1}{1-x^3} \frac{1}{1-x^5} \cdots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{\text{odd}}(n) x^n
$$

#### Bijective proofs for instance by Sylvester.










THM Ramanujan 1919





**EG**

\n
$$
p(13 \cdot 11^{3}m + 237) \equiv 0 \pmod{13}
$$

\n
$$
p(17 \cdot 41^{4}m + 1122838) \equiv 0 \pmod{17}
$$



**EG**  
\nAtkin  
\n1968  
\n
$$
p(13 \cdot 11^{3}m + 237) \equiv 0 \pmod{13}
$$
\n
$$
p(17 \cdot 41^{4}m + 1122838) \equiv 0 \pmod{17}
$$

• Ono (2000) and Ahlgren–Ono (2001) show that, if  $gcd(M, 6) = 1$ ,

$$
p(Am + B) \equiv 0 \pmod{M}
$$

for infinitely many non-nested arithmetic progressions  $Am + B$ .

CONJ No such congruences exist for moduli 2 and 3.

[An Analog of Euler's Theorem on Integer Partitions](#page-0-0) Armin Straub Armin Straub Armin Straub



Rank explains the congruences modulo  $5$  and  $7$ . (Atkin, Swinnerton-Dyer (1954))







Rank explains the congruences modulo  $5$  and  $7.$  (Atkin, Swinnerton-Dyer (1954))



• All three congruences are explained by Dyson's speculated crank, which was found by Andrews and Garvan (1988).

[An Analog of Euler's Theorem on Integer Partitions](#page-0-0) Armin Straub Armin Straub Armin Straub Armin Straub Armin Straub

#### Modular forms

• 
$$
P(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n)x^n = \prod_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{1-x^k}
$$
 is a very special function.

DEF 
$$
\Delta(\tau) = \frac{q}{P(q)^{24}} = q \prod_{k \ge 1} (1 - q^k)^{24}, \qquad q = e^{2\pi i \tau}
$$

• 
$$
\Delta(\tau+1) = \Delta(\tau)
$$

*"* There's a saying attributed to Eichler that there are five fundamental operations of arithmetic: addition, subtraction, multiplication, division, and modular forms.

Andrew Wiles (BBC Interview, "The Proof", 1997)

#### Modular forms

• 
$$
P(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n)x^n = \prod_{k \ge 1} \frac{1}{1 - x^k}
$$
 is a very special function.  
\nDEF  $\Delta(\tau) = \frac{q}{P(a)^{24}} = q \prod_{k \ge 1} (1 - q^k)^{24}, \qquad q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$ 

$$
P(q)^{24} \xrightarrow{k \geq 1} P
$$

•  $\Delta(\tau+1) = \Delta(\tau)$  and, much less obviously,  $\Delta(-1/\tau) = \tau^{12}\Delta(\tau)$ 

*"* There's a saying attributed to Eichler that there are five fundamental operations of arithmetic: addition, subtraction, multiplication, division, and modular forms.

Andrew Wiles (BBC Interview, "The Proof", 1997)

13 / 26

#### Modular forms

• 
$$
P(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n)x^n = \prod_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{1-x^k}
$$
 is a very special function.

DEF 
$$
\Delta(\tau) = \frac{q}{P(q)^{24}} = q \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 - q^k)^{24}, \qquad q = e^{2\pi i \tau}
$$

•  $\Delta(\tau+1) = \Delta(\tau)$  and, much less obviously,  $\Delta(-1/\tau) = \tau^{12}\Delta(\tau)$ • This makes  $\Delta(\tau)$  a modular form of weight 12 and level 1.

$$
\mathsf{THM} \qquad \Delta \left( \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \right) = (c\tau + d)^{12} \Delta(\tau), \qquad \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})
$$

*"* There's a saying attributed to Eichler that there are five fundamental operations of arithmetic: addition, subtraction, multiplication, division, and modular forms.

Andrew Wiles (BBC Interview, "The Proof", 1997)

13 / 26

# Core partitions





• To each cell  $u$  in the diagram is assigned its hook.



• To each cell  $u$  in the diagram is assigned its hook.



- To each cell  $u$  in the diagram is assigned its hook.
- The hook length of  $u$  is the number of cells in its hook.



- To each cell  $u$  in the diagram is assigned its hook.
- The hook length of  $u$  is the number of cells in its hook.
- A partition is *t*-core if no cell has hook length  $t$ . For instance, the above partition is 7-core.



- To each cell  $u$  in the diagram is assigned its hook.
- The hook length of  $u$  is the number of cells in its hook.
- A partition is *t*-core if no cell has hook length  $t$ . For instance, the above partition is 7-core.
- A partition is  $(s, t)$ -core if it is both s-core and t-core.



- To each cell  $u$  in the diagram is assigned its hook.
- The hook length of  $u$  is the number of cells in its hook.
- A partition is *t*-core if no cell has hook length  $t$ . For instance, the above partition is 7-core.
- A partition is  $(s, t)$ -core if it is both s-core and t-core.

**LEM** If a partition is *t*-core, then it is also rt-core for  $r = 1, 2, 3...$ 

• Using the theory of modular forms, Granville and Ono (1996) showed:

(The case  $t = p$  of this completed the classification of simple groups with defect zero Brauer p-blocks.)

THM For any  $n \geq 0$  there exists a *t*-core partition of *n* whenever  $t \geq 4$ .

• Using the theory of modular forms, Granville and Ono (1996) showed:

(The case  $t = p$  of this completed the classification of simple groups with defect zero Brauer  $p$ -blocks.)

**THM** For any  $n \geq 0$  there exists a *t*-core partition of *n* whenever  $t \geq 4$ .

• If  $c_t(n)$  is the number of *t*-core partitions of *n*, then

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_t(n)q^n = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q^{tn})^t}{1-q^n}.
$$

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_2(n)q^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)}, \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_3(n)q^n = 1 + q + 2q^2 + 2q^4 + q^5 + 2q^6 + q^8 + \dots
$$

• Using the theory of modular forms, Granville and Ono (1996) showed:

(The case  $t = p$  of this completed the classification of simple groups with defect zero Brauer p-blocks.)

**THM** For any  $n \geq 0$  there exists a *t*-core partition of *n* whenever  $t \geq 4$ .

• If  $c_t(n)$  is the number of *t*-core partitions of *n*, then

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_t(n)q^n = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q^{tn})^t}{1-q^n}.
$$

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_2(n)q^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)}, \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_3(n)q^n = 1 + q + 2q^2 + 2q^4 + q^5 + 2q^6 + q^8 + \dots
$$

Q Can we give a combinatorial proof of the Granville–Ono result?

Using the theory of modular forms, Granville and Ono (1996) showed:

(The case  $t = p$  of this completed the classification of simple groups with defect zero Brauer p-blocks.)

THM For any  $n \geq 0$  there exists a *t*-core partition of n whenever  $t \geq 4$ .

• If  $c_t(n)$  is the number of *t*-core partitions of *n*, then

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_t(n)q^n = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q^{tn})^t}{1-q^n}.
$$

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_2(n)q^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)}, \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_3(n)q^n = 1 + q + 2q^2 + 2q^4 + q^5 + 2q^6 + q^8 + \dots
$$

Q Can we give a combinatorial proof of the Granville–Ono result?

#### **COR** The total number of  $t$ -core partitions is infinite.

Though this is probably the most complicated way possible to see that. . .

[An Analog of Euler's Theorem on Integer Partitions](#page-0-0) Armin Straub Armin Straub Armin Straub

# Counting core partitions



### Counting core partitions

The number of  $(s, t)$ -core partitions is finite if and only if s and  $t$  are coprime. In that case, this number is THM Anderson 2002

$$
\frac{1}{s+t} \binom{s+t}{s}.
$$

### Counting core partitions

The number of  $(s, t)$ -core partitions is finite if and only if s and  $t$  are coprime. In that case, this number is THM Anderson 2002

$$
\frac{1}{s+t} \binom{s+t}{s}.
$$

• Olsson and Stanton (2007): the largest size of such partitions is  $\frac{1}{24}(s^2-1)(t^2-1)$ .

The number of  $(s, t)$ -core partitions is finite if and only if s and  $t$  are coprime. In that case, this number is THM **Anderson** 2002

$$
\frac{1}{s+t} \binom{s+t}{s}.
$$

- Olsson and Stanton (2007): the largest size of such partitions is  $\frac{1}{24}(s^2-1)(t^2-1)$ .
- Note that the number of  $(s, s + 1)$ -core partitions is the Catalan number

$$
C_s = \frac{1}{s+1} \binom{2s}{s} = \frac{1}{2s+1} \binom{2s+1}{s},
$$

which also counts the number of Dyck paths of order s.

The number of  $(s, t)$ -core partitions is finite if and only if s and  $t$  are coprime. In that case, this number is THM **Anderson** 2002

$$
\frac{1}{s+t} \binom{s+t}{s}.
$$

- Olsson and Stanton (2007): the largest size of such partitions is  $\frac{1}{24}(s^2-1)(t^2-1)$ .
- Note that the number of  $(s, s + 1)$ -core partitions is the Catalan number

$$
C_s = \frac{1}{s+1} \binom{2s}{s} = \frac{1}{2s+1} \binom{2s+1}{s},
$$

which also counts the number of Dyck paths of order s.

Amdeberhan and Leven (2015) give generalizations to  $(s, s+1, \ldots, s+p)$ -core partitions, including a relation to generalized Dyck paths.

The number of  $(s, t)$ -core partitions is finite if and only if s and  $t$  are coprime. In that case, this number is THM **Anderson** 2002

$$
\frac{1}{s+t} \binom{s+t}{s}.
$$

- Olsson and Stanton (2007): the largest size of such partitions is  $\frac{1}{24}(s^2-1)(t^2-1)$ .
- Note that the number of  $(s, s + 1)$ -core partitions is the Catalan number

$$
C_s = \frac{1}{s+1} \binom{2s}{s} = \frac{1}{2s+1} \binom{2s+1}{s},
$$

which also counts the number of Dyck paths of order s.

- Amdeberhan and Leven (2015) give generalizations to  $(s, s+1, \ldots, s+p)$ -core partitions, including a relation to generalized Dyck paths.
- Ford, Mai and Sze (2009) show that the number of self-conjugate  $(s, t)$ -core partitions is

$$
\left(\frac{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor + \lfloor t/2 \rfloor}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}\right).
$$

• Amdeberhan raises the interesting problem of counting the number of special partitions which are  $t$ -core for certain values of  $t$ .

• Amdeberhan raises the interesting problem of counting the number of special partitions which are  $t$ -core for certain values of  $t$ .

- He further conjectured that the largest possible size of an  $(s, s + 1)$ -core partition into distinct parts is  $|s(s + 1)/6|$ , and that there is a unique such largest partition unless  $s \equiv 1$  modulo 3, in which case there are two partitions of maximum size.
- Amdeberhan also conjectured that the total size of these partitions is

$$
\sum_{i+j+k=s+1} F_i F_j F_k.
$$

• Amdeberhan raises the interesting problem of counting the number of special partitions which are  $t$ -core for certain values of  $t$ .

- He further conjectured that the largest possible size of an  $(s, s + 1)$ -core partition into distinct parts is  $|s(s + 1)/6|$ , and that there is a unique such largest partition unless  $s \equiv 1$  modulo 3, in which case there are two partitions of maximum size.
- Amdeberhan also conjectured that the total size of these partitions is

$$
\sum_{i+j+k=s+1} F_i F_j F_k.
$$

**EG** 
$$
s=4
$$
  $\emptyset$   $\Box$   $\Box$   $\Box$   $\Box$ 

• Amdeberhan raises the interesting problem of counting the number of special partitions which are  $t$ -core for certain values of  $t$ .

- He further conjectured that the largest possible size of an  $(s, s + 1)$ -core partition into distinct parts is  $|s(s + 1)/6|$ , and that there is a unique such largest partition unless  $s \equiv 1$  modulo 3, in which case there are two partitions of maximum size.
- Amdeberhan also conjectured that the total size of these partitions is

$$
\sum_{i+j+k=s+1} F_i F_j F_k.
$$



**THM** Let  $N_d(s)$  be the number of  $(s, ds - 1)$ -core partitions into distinct parts. Then,  $N_d(1) = 1$ ,  $N_d(2) = d$  and

$$
N_d(s) = N_d(s - 1) + dN_d(s - 2).
$$

- The case  $d=1$  settles Amdeberhan's conjecture.
- This special case was independently also proved by Xiong, who further shows the other claims by Amdeberhan.

**THM** Let  $N_d(s)$  be the number of  $(s, ds - 1)$ -core partitions into distinct parts. Then,  $N_d(1) = 1$ ,  $N_d(2) = d$  and

$$
N_d(s) = N_d(s - 1) + dN_d(s - 2).
$$

- The case  $d=1$  settles Amdeberhan's conjecture.
- This special case was independently also proved by Xiong, who further shows the other claims by Amdeberhan.
- The case  $d=2$  shows that there are  $2^{s-1}$  many  $(s,2s-1)$ -core partitions into distinct parts.

**THM** Let  $N_d(s)$  be the number of  $(s, ds - 1)$ -core partitions into distinct parts. Then,  $N_d(1) = 1$ ,  $N_d(2) = d$  and

$$
N_d(s) = N_d(s - 1) + dN_d(s - 2).
$$

- The case  $d=1$  settles Amdeberhan's conjecture.
- This special case was independently also proved by Xiong, who further shows the other claims by Amdeberhan.
- The case  $d=2$  shows that there are  $2^{s-1}$  many  $(s,2s-1)$ -core partitions into distinct parts.

The first few generalized Fibonacci polynomials  $N_d(s)$  are 1, d, 2d,  $d(d+2)$ ,  $d(3d+2)$ ,  $d(d^2+5d+2)$ , ... For  $d = 1$ , we recover the usual Fibonacci numbers. For  $d = 2$ , we find  $N_2(s) = 2^{s-1}$ . EG






- Introduced (up to a shift by 1) by Corteel and Lovejoy (2004) in their study of overpartitions.
- The perimeter is the largest part plus the number of parts (minus 1).
- The rank is the largest part minus the number of parts.

The number of partitions into distinct parts with perimeter  $M$ equals the number of partitions into odd parts with perimeter  $M$ . THM S 2016

## An analog of Euler's theorem



• While it appears natural and is easily proved, we have been unable to find this result in the literature.

[An Analog of Euler's Theorem on Integer Partitions](#page-0-0) Armin Straub Armin Straub Armin Straub



• While it appears natural and is easily proved, we have been unable to find this result in the literature.

[An Analog of Euler's Theorem on Integer Partitions](#page-0-0) Armin Straub Armin Straub Armin Straub

- The following very simple observation connects core partitions with partitions of bounded perimeter.
- A partition into distinct parts is  $(s, s + 1)$ -core if and only if it has perimeter strictly less than  $s$ . LEM

• The following very simple observation connects core partitions with partitions of bounded perimeter.

A partition into distinct parts is  $(s, s + 1)$ -core if and only if it has perimeter strictly less than  $s$ . LEM

proof Let  $\lambda$  be a partition into distinct parts.

• The following very simple observation connects core partitions with partitions of bounded perimeter.

A partition into distinct parts is  $(s, s + 1)$ -core if and only if it has perimeter strictly less than  $s$ . LEM

proof Let  $\lambda$  be a partition into distinct parts.

• Assume  $\lambda$  has a cell  $u$  with hook length  $t \geq s$ .

• The following very simple observation connects core partitions with partitions of bounded perimeter.

A partition into distinct parts is  $(s, s + 1)$ -core if and only if it has perimeter strictly less than  $s$ . LEM

proof Let  $\lambda$  be a partition into distinct parts.

- Assume  $\lambda$  has a cell  $u$  with hook length  $t \geq s$ .
- Since  $\lambda$  has distinct parts, the cell to the right of u has hook length  $t-1$  or  $t-2$ .

• The following very simple observation connects core partitions with partitions of bounded perimeter.

A partition into distinct parts is  $(s, s + 1)$ -core if and only if it has perimeter strictly less than  $s$ . LEM

proof Let  $\lambda$  be a partition into distinct parts.

- Assume  $\lambda$  has a cell  $u$  with hook length  $t \geq s$ .
- Since  $\lambda$  has distinct parts, the cell to the right of u has hook length  $t-1$  or  $t-2$ .
- It follows that  $\lambda$  has a hook of length s or  $s + 1$ .

• The following very simple observation connects core partitions with partitions of bounded perimeter.

**LEM** A partition into distinct parts is  $(s, s + 1)$ -core if and only if it has perimeter strictly less than  $s$ .

proof Let  $\lambda$  be a partition into distinct parts.

- Assume  $\lambda$  has a cell  $u$  with hook length  $t \geq s$ .
- Since  $\lambda$  has distinct parts, the cell to the right of u has hook length  $t-1$  or  $t-2$ .
- It follows that  $\lambda$  has a hook of length s or  $s + 1$ .

COR An  $(s, ds − 1)$ -core partition into distinct parts has perimeter at most  $ds - 2$ .

### Summary

The number of  $(s, t)$ -core partitions is finite if and only if s and  $t$  are coprime. In that case, this number is THM Anderson 2002

$$
\frac{1}{s+t} \binom{s+t}{s}.
$$

THM Let  $N_d(s)$  be the number of  $(s, ds - 1)$ -core partitions into distinct parts. Then,  $N_d(1) = 1$ ,  $N_d(2) = d$  and  $N_d(s) = N_d(s-1) + dN_d(s-2).$ S 2016

• In particular, there are  $F_s$  many  $(s - 1, s)$ -core partitions into distinct parts, • and  $2^{s-1}$  many  $(s, 2s - 1)$ -core partitions into distinct parts.

What is the number of  $(s, t)$ -core partitions into distinct parts in general? Q









CONJ If s is odd, then the number of  $(s, s + 2)$ -core partitions into distinct parts equals  $2^{s-1}$ .

CONJ If s is odd, then the number of  $(s, s + 2)$ -core partitions into distinct parts equals  $2^{s-1}$ .

**EG**  $(s = 3)$  The four  $(3, 5)$ -core partitions into distinct parts are:



CONJ If s is odd, then the number of  $(s, s + 2)$ -core partitions into distinct parts equals  $2^{s-1}$ .

**EG**  $(s = 3)$  The four  $(3, 5)$ -core partitions into distinct parts are:



 $(s = 5)$  The sixteen  $(5, 7)$ -core partitions into distinct parts are:

 $\{\}, \{\}\$ ,  $\{2\}, \{\{3\}, \{\{4\}, \{2,1\}, \{\{3,1\}, \{5,1\}\}\$  $\{3, 2\}, \{4, 2, 1\}, \{6, 2, 1\}, \{4, 3, 1\}, \{7, 3, 2\},$  $\{5, 4, 2, 1\}, \{8, 4, 3, 1\}, \{9, 5, 4, 2, 1\}$ 

CONJ If s is odd, then the number of  $(s, s + 2)$ -core partitions into distinct parts equals  $2^{s-1}$ .

**EG**  $(s = 3)$  The four  $(3, 5)$ -core partitions into distinct parts are:



 $(s = 5)$  The sixteen  $(5, 7)$ -core partitions into distinct parts are:

 $\{\}, \{\}\$ ,  $\{2\}, \{\{3\}, \{\{4\}, \{2,1\}, \{\{3,1\}, \{5,1\}\}\$  $\{3, 2\}, \{4, 2, 1\}, \{6, 2, 1\}, \{4, 3, 1\}, \{7, 3, 2\},$  $\{5, 4, 2, 1\}, \{8, 4, 3, 1\}, \{9, 5, 4, 2, 1\}$ 

• The largest size of such partitions appears to be  $\frac{1}{384}(s^2-1)(s+3)(5s+17)$ . • There appears to be a unique partition of that size (with  $\frac{1}{8}(s-1)(s+5)$  many parts and largest part  $\frac{3}{8}(s^2-1)$ ).

CONJ If s is odd, then the number of  $(s, s + 2)$ -core partitions into distinct parts equals  $2^{s-1}$ .

**EG**  $(s = 3)$  The four  $(3, 5)$ -core partitions into distinct parts are:



 $(s = 5)$  The sixteen  $(5, 7)$ -core partitions into distinct parts are:

 $\{\}, \{\}\$ ,  $\{2\}, \{\{3\}, \{\{4\}, \{2,1\}, \{\{3,1\}, \{5,1\}\}\$  $\{3, 2\}, \{4, 2, 1\}, \{6, 2, 1\}, \{4, 3, 1\}, \{7, 3, 2\},$  $\{5, 4, 2, 1\}, \{8, 4, 3, 1\}, \{9, 5, 4, 2, 1\}$ 

- The largest size of such partitions appears to be  $\frac{1}{384}(s^2-1)(s+3)(5s+17)$ .
- There appears to be a unique partition of that size (with  $\frac{1}{8}(s-1)(s+5)$  many parts and largest part  $\frac{3}{8}(s^2-1)$ ).
- Yan, Qin, Jin, Zhou (2016) have very recently proven these conjectures by analyzing order ideals in an associated poset introduced by Anderson.





# THANK YOU!

Slides for this talk will be available from my website: <http://arminstraub.com/talks>



Armin Straub Core partitions into distinct parts and an analog of Euler's theorem European Journal of Combinatorics, Vol. 57, 2016, p. 40-49