Sketch of Lecture 15

Example 52. Consider the plane x + 2y + z = 2.

- (a) Find a normal vector for the plane.
- (b) Find the intersections of the plane with each of the three coordinate axes.
- (c) Sketch the plane.

Solution.

- (a) $\boldsymbol{n} = \langle 1, 2, 1 \rangle$
- (b) To find the intersection with the x-axis, we set y=0 and z=0 in the equation for the plane: $x+2\cdot 0+0=2$, which we "solve" to get x=2. The point of intersection is (2,0,0). The value 2 (or sometimes the point) is called the *x*-intercept of the plane.

Likewise, the *y*-intercept is 1 and the *z*-intercept is 2. The corresponding intersection points are (0, 1, 0) and (0, 0, 2).

(c) Mark the three point we just found in a coordinate system, and add lines through each of the three sides of the triangle to indicate our plane.

[Look at your sketch: can you see (at least roughly) how the normal vector is indeed perpendicular to the plane?]

Example 53. Find an equation for the plane parallel to x + 2y + z = 2 through (2, 3, 7).

Solution. Since the planes are parallel, they have the same normal direction.

Our plane can therefore also be written as x + 2y + z = d for some d.

To find d, we use that (x, y, z) = (2, 3, 7) is a point on the plane: $2 + 2 \cdot 3 + 7 = d$, so d = 15.

Example 54. Is the line x = 1 - t, y = 2t, z = 3 - 4t parallel to the plane x + 2y + z = 2?

Solution. The line has direction vector $\boldsymbol{v} = \langle -1, 2, -4 \rangle$.

[Note that it can be written as $(x, y, z) = (1 - t, 2t, 3 - 4t) = (1, 0, 3) + t \langle -1, 2, -4 \rangle$.]

The plane has normal vector $\boldsymbol{n} = \langle 1, 2, 1 \rangle$.

The line is perpendicular to the plane if and only if $\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = 0$. (Why?!) But $\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} = -1 + 4 - 4 = -1 \neq 0$. Hence, the line is not parallel to the plane.

Example 55. (intersecting two planes) Consider the two planes

$$x + 2y + z = 2,$$
 $x + y - 2z = 1.$

These planes are not parallel (why?!) and so they intersect in a line. Determine that line.

Solution. There are different approaches. Here is one that gives you a taste of linear algebra:

- Thinking just in terms of equations, we have two equations but three "unknowns". This means that we cannot solve for all three variables; one of them needs to be otherwise specified. Take (for instance) z and specify z = t where t is some parameter (this will be the parameter for our line).
 - [In linear algebra, we would say that z is a "free variable".]
- Our equations now are x + 2y = 2 t and x + y = 1 + 2t.
 [It is not necessary but customary to move the nonvariable parts to the right-hand side.]
- These are two equations and two unknowns (x, y are the unknowns; t is some value), so we can solve for x and y. For instance, we can subtract the second equation from the first (with the intent to eliminate x): We get y = (2-t) (1+2t) = 1 3t.

To find x, we substitute that in the first equation and get x + 2(1 - 3t) = 2 - t, which gives x = 5t.

• Taken together, we have $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5t \\ 1-3t \\ t \end{bmatrix}$. This is a parametrization of the line.

[Note that $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5t \\ 1-3t \\ t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + t \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ -3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, so we have the usual "point + direction" parametrization.]

Armin Straub straub@southalabama.edu