

**Review.** properties of determinants ◇

The **determinant** of any matrix can be computed by picking a row  $i$  and calculating  $\det(A) = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{i+j} a_{ij} \det(A_{[i,j]})$ , where  $A_{[i,j]}$  is obtained from  $A$  by deleting the  $i$ th row and  $j$ th column.

The determinant satisfies  $\det(A^T) = \det(A)$  (as a consequence, we can adjust the above formula to expand along columns instead of along a row) and  $\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B)$ .

**Example 101.**  $\det \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = ad - bc$  ◇

Vectors  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$  are (linearly) **independent** if  $c_1\mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + c_n\mathbf{x}_n = 0$  only for  $c_1 = c_2 = \dots = c_n = 0$ .

When checking independence of  $n$  many  $n \times 1$  column vectors, we can use determinants! They are independent if and only if  $\det(\mathbf{x}_1 \ \mathbf{x}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{x}_n) \neq 0$ . [Do you see why?!]

**Example 102.** Are the vectors  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  independent?

**Solution.**  $\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = -3 \neq 0$ . Hence the vectors are independent. ◇

**Example 103.** Are the vectors  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  independent?

**Solution.**  $\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 4 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - (-1)\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix} = -2 + 2 = 0$ . Hence the vectors are dependent.

**Solution.**  $4\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} - 2\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$ . So, by definition, they are dependent. ◇

**Review.** We took another look at Theorem 59 from Lecture 13 to convince ourselves that it follows from what we just learned about determinants. ◇

**Example 104.**  $x'' - x' - 2x = 0$  has solutions  $x_1 = e^{2t}, x_2 = e^{-t}$ .

Since  $W(t) = \det \begin{pmatrix} e^{2t} & e^{-t} \\ 2e^{2t} & -e^{-t} \end{pmatrix}$  and so  $W(0) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = -3 \neq 0$ , our two solutions are indeed independent. As a consequence, the general solution is  $c_1x_1 + c_2x_2$ . ◇

**Example 105.** Introducing  $y = x'$ , the previous DE is equivalent to the first-order system  $x' = y, y' = 2x + y$ . Our known solutions translate into  $x_1 = e^{2t}, y_1 = 2e^{2t}$  and  $x_2 = e^{-t}, y_2 = -e^{-t}$ .

Writing  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$ , this system is  $\mathbf{x}' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}$  with solutions  $\mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} e^{2t} \\ 2e^{2t} \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-t} \\ -e^{-t} \end{pmatrix}$ .

For fixed  $t$ , these two vectors are independent if and only if  $\det(\mathbf{x}_1 \ \mathbf{x}_2) = \det \begin{pmatrix} e^{2t} & e^{-t} \\ 2e^{2t} & -e^{-t} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$ . Note that this is precisely the Wronskian of the previous example. ◇

As in this last example, if  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$  are solutions to  $\mathbf{x}' = A(t)\mathbf{x}$  (a homogeneous linear first-order system of DEs), then their **Wronskian** is the determinant  $W(t) = \det(\mathbf{x}_1 \ \mathbf{x}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{x}_n)$ . Next time, we will see the expected properties it again has.