Finite difference method: A glance at discretizing PDEs

We know from Calculus that $f'(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$. $h \rightarrow 0$ h $f(x+h) - f(x)$ *h* .

PDEs quickly become impossibly difficult to approach with exact solution techniques.

It is common therefore to proceed numerically. One approach is to discretize the problem.

For instance. We could use $f'(x) \!\approx\! \frac{1}{h}[f(x+h)-f(x)]$ to replace $\frac{1}{h}[f(x+h)-f(x)]$ to replace $f'(x)$ with the finite difference on the RHS.

Such approximate methods are called finite difference methods.

Finite difference methods are a common approach to numerically solving PDEs.

The ODE or PDE translates into a (sparse) system of linear equations which is then solved using Linear Algebra.

Example 167.

- $f'(x) \approx \frac{1}{h} [f(x+h) f(x)]$ is a forwa $\frac{1}{h}[f(x+h)-f(x)]$ is a forward difference for $f'(x)$.
- \bullet $f'(x) \approx \frac{1}{h} [f(x) f(x-h)]$ is a back $\frac{1}{h}[f(x)-f(x-h)]$ is a backward difference for $f'(x)$.
- $f'(x) \approx \frac{1}{2h} [f(x+h) f(x-h)]$ is a $\frac{1}{2h}[f(x+h)-f(x-h)]$ is a central difference for $f'(x)$. Note that this is the average of the forward and the backward difference. The calculations below show that the central difference performs better as an approximation of $f'(x)$.

Comment. Recall that power series $f(x)$ have the Taylor expansion $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(x_0)}{n!} (x-x_0)^n$.

Equivalently, $f(x+h) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(x)}{n!}h^n = f(x) + hf'(x) + \frac{h^2}{2}f''(x) + \frac{h^3}{6}f'''(x) + O(h^2)$ $\frac{h^2}{2}f''(x) + \frac{h^3}{6}f'''(x) + O(h^4)$. It follows $\frac{b}{6}$ $f'''(x) + O(h^4)$. It follows that $1_{[f(n+k)-f(n)]}$ $\frac{1}{h}[f(x+h) - f(x)] = f'(x) + \left[\frac{h}{2}f''(x) + O(h^2)\right] = f'(x) +$ $\frac{n}{2}f''(x) + O(h^2) = f'(x) + O(h^2).$

The $\boxed{\text{error}}$ is of order $O(h)$. On the other hand, combining

$$
f(x+h) = f(x) + h f'(x) + \frac{h^2}{2} f''(x) + \frac{h^3}{6} f'''(x) + O(h^4),
$$

$$
f(x-h) = f(x) - h f'(x) + \frac{h^2}{2} f''(x) - \frac{h^3}{6} f'''(x) + O(h^4),
$$

it follows that

$$
\frac{1}{2h}[f(x+h) - f(x-h)] = f'(x) + \left[\frac{h^2}{6}f'''(x) + O(h^3)\right] = f'(x) + \left[\frac{O(h^2)}{2}\right].
$$

The $\boxed{\text{error}}$ is of order $O(h^2)$.

Comment. An error of order h^2 means that if we cut h by a factor of, say, $\frac{1}{10}$, then we expect the error to be cut by a factor of $\frac{1}{10^2} = \frac{1}{100}$. $\frac{1}{100}$.

Example 168. Find a central difference for $f''(x)$.

 ${\bf Solution.}$ Adding the two expansions for $f(x+h)$ and $f(x-h)$ to kill the $f'(x)$ terms, and subtracting $2f(x)$, we find that

$$
\frac{1}{h^2}[f(x+h) - 2f(x) + f(x-h)] = f''(x) + \left[\frac{h^2}{12}f^{(4)}(x) + O(h^3)\right] = f''(x) + \left[\frac{O(h^2)}{12} + \frac{O(h^2)}{12}\right].
$$

The $\boxed{\text{error}}$ is of order 2.

Alternatively. If we iterate the approximation $f'(x) \approx \frac{1}{2h} [f(x+h) - f(x-h)]$ (in $\frac{1}{2h}[f(x+h)-f(x-h)]$ (in the second step, we apply it with *x* replaced by $x \pm h$), we obtain

$$
f''(x) \approx \frac{1}{2h} [f'(x+h) - f'(x-h)] \approx \frac{1}{4h^2} [f(x+2h) - 2f(x) + f(x-2h)],
$$

which is the same as what we found above, just with *h* replaced by 2*h*.

Example 169. (discretizing Δ) Use the above central difference approximation for second derivatives to derive a finite difference for $\Delta u = u_{xx} + u_{yy}$ in 2D.

Solution.

$$
\frac{u_{xx} + u_{yy}}{h^2} \approx \frac{1}{h^2} [u(x+h, y) - 2u(x, y) + u(x-h, y)] + \frac{1}{h^2} [u(x, y+h) - 2u(x, y) + u(x, y-h)]
$$

=
$$
\frac{1}{h^2} [u(x+h, y) + u(x-h, y) + u(x, y+h) + u(x, y-h) - 4u(x, y)]
$$

Notation. This finite difference is typically represented as $\frac{1}{h^2}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -4 & 1 \ 1 & -4 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, the $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -4 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, the five-poil 1 $1 \quad -4 \quad 1 \quad |$, the five-point s $1 \quad \Box$ $\overline{1}$ 3 $\overline{1}$ 3 , the five-point stencil.

Comment. Recall that solutions to $\Delta u = 0$ are supposed to describe steady-state temperature distributions. We can see from our discretization that this is reasonable. Namely, $\Delta u = 0$ becomes approximately equivalent to

$$
u(x, y) = \frac{1}{4}(u(x+h, y) + u(x-h, y) + u(x, y+h) + u(x, y-h)).
$$

In other words, the temperature $u(x, y)$ at a point (x, y) should be the average of the temperatures of its four "neighbors" $u(x+h, y)$ (right), $u(x-h, y)$ (left), $u(x, y+h)$ (top), $u(x, y-h)$ (bottom).

Comment. Think about how to use this finite difference to numerically solve the corresponding Dirichlet problem by discretizing (one equation per lattice point).

Advanced comment. If $\Delta u = 0$ then, when discretizing, the center point has the average value of the four points adjacent to it. This leads to the maximum principle: if $\Delta u = 0$ on a region R , then the maximum (and, likewise, minimum) value of *u* must occur at a boundary point of *R*.

Example 170. Discretize the following Dirichlet problem:

$$
u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0 \text{ (PDE)}u(x, 0) = 2u(x, 2) = 3u(0, y) = 0 \text{ (BC)}u(1, y) = 0
$$

Use a step size of $h = \frac{1}{3}$. 1 3 .

Comment. Note that, for the Dirichlet problem as well as for our discretization, it doesn't matter that the boundary conditions aren't well-defined at the corners.

Solution. Note that our rectangle has side lengths 1 (in *x* direction) and 2 (in *y* direction). With a step size of $h\!=\!\frac{1}{3}$ we therefore get $4\cdot 7$ lattice points, namely the points

$$
u_{m,n}=u(mh,nh),\quad m\in\{0,1,2,3\},\,\,n\in\{0,1,...,6\}.
$$

Further note that the boundary conditions determine the values of $u_{m,n}$ if $m = 0$ or $m = 3$ as well as if $n = 0$ or $n = 6$. This leaves $2 \cdot 5 = 10$ points at which we need to determine the value of $u_{m,n}$.

Next, we approximate $u_{xx} + u_{yy}$ by $\frac{1}{h^2} [u(x+h, y) + u(x+h, y)]$ $\frac{1}{h^2}[u(x+h,y)+u(x-h,y)+u(x,y+h)+u(x,y-h)-4u(x,y)]$ (see previous example for how we obtained this finite difference approximation). Note that, if $u(x, y) = u_{m,n}$ is one of our lattice points, then the other four terms in the finite difference are lattice points as well; for instance, $u(x+h, y) = u_{m+1,n}$. The equation $u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0$ therefore translates into

$$
u_{m+1,n} + u_{m-1,n} + u_{m,n+1} + u_{m,n-1} - 4u_{m,n} = 0.
$$

Spelling out these equation for each $m \in \{1, 2\}$ and $n \in \{1, 2, ..., 5\}$, we get 10 (linear) equations for our 10 unknown values. For instance, here are the equations for $(m, n) = (1, 1)$, $(1, 2)$ as well as $(2, 5)$:

$$
u_{2,1} + u_{0,1} + u_{1,2} + u_{1,0} - 4u_{1,1} = 0
$$

\n
$$
u_{2,2} + u_{0,2} + u_{1,3} + u_{1,1} - 4u_{1,2} = 0
$$

\n
$$
u_{3,5} + u_{1,5} + u_{2,6} + u_{2,4} - 4u_{2,5} = 0
$$

\n
$$
u_{3,5} = 0
$$

\n
$$
u_{3,6} = 0
$$

In matrix-vector form, these linear equations take the form:

$$
\begin{bmatrix} -4 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 1 & -4 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -4 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -4 \ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{1,1} \\ u_{1,2} \\ u_{1,3} \\ u_{1,4} \\ u_{2,1} \\ u_{2,2} \\ u_{2,3} \\ u_{2,4} \\ u_{2,5} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}
$$

Solving this system, we find $u_{1,1} \approx 0.7847$, $u_{1,2} \approx 0.3542$, ..., $u_{2,5} \approx 1.1597$.

For comparison, the corresponding exact values are $u(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$ \approx 0.7872, $u(\frac{1}{2},\frac{2}{2})$ $\left(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3}\right)$ \approx 0.7872, $u\left(\frac{1}{3},\frac{2}{3}\right)$ \approx 0.3209, ..., $u\left(\frac{1}{3},\frac{2}{3}\right)$ $\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right) \approx 0.3209, ..., u\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{5}{3}\right) \approx 1.1679.$ For comparison, the corresponding exact values are $u\Big(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3}\Big)\!\approx\!0.7872$, $u\Big(\frac{1}{3},\frac{2}{3}\Big)\!\approx\!0.3209,$..., $u\Big(\frac{2}{3},\frac{5}{3}\Big)\!\approx\!1.1679.$ These were computed from the exact formula

$$
u(x,y) = \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ n \text{ odd}}}^{\infty} \frac{4}{\pi n} \frac{\sin(\pi nx)}{1 - e^{4\pi n}} [2(e^{\pi ny} - e^{-\pi n(y-4)}) + 3(e^{\pi n(2-y)} - e^{\pi n(2+y)})],
$$

which we will derive soon.

Armin Straub straub@southalabama.edu ⁸⁸

The three plots below visualize the discretized solution with $h\!=\!\frac{1}{3}$ from Example 170, the exa In with $h=\frac{1}{3}$ from Example [170,](#page-2-0) the exact solution, as well as the discretized solution with $h = \frac{1}{20}$. 20 .

Comment. The first plot looks a bit overly rough because we chose not to interpolate the values. As we showed above, the approximate values at the ten lattice points are actually pretty decent for such a large step size. $\bm{\mathsf{Warning}}$. The resulting linear systems quickly become very large. For instance, if we use a step size of $h\!=\!\frac{1}{100}$, 100 , where \mathcal{L} then we need to determine roughly $100 \cdot 200 = 20{,}000$ (99 \cdot 199 to be exact) values $u_{m,\,n}.$ The corresponding
matrix M will have about $20{,}000^2$ $=$ $400{,}000{,}000$ entries, which is already challenging for use generic linear algebra software. At this point it is important to realize that most entries of the matrix *M* are 0. Such matrices are called sparse and there are efficient algorithms for solving systems involving such matrices.

Example 171. Discretize the following Dirichlet problem:

$$
u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0 \text{ (PDE)}u(x, 0) = 2u(x, 1) = 3u(0, y) = 1 \text{ (BC)}u(2, y) = 4
$$

Use a step size of $h = \frac{1}{2}$. 1 2 .

Solution. Note that our rectangle has side lengths 2 (in *x* direction) and 1 (in *y* direction). With a step size of $h = \frac{1}{2}$ we therefore get $5 \cdot 3$ lattice points, namely the points

$$
u_{m,n} = u(mh,nh), \quad m \in \{0,1,2,3,4\}, \ n \in \{0,1,2\}.
$$

Further note that the boundary conditions determine the values of $u_{m,n}$ if $m = 0$ or $m = 4$ as well as if $n = 0$ or $n = 2$. This leaves $3 \cdot 1 = 3$ points at which we need to determine the value of $u_{m,n}$.

If we approximate $u_{xx} + u_{yy}$ by $\frac{1}{h^2}[u(x+h,y) + u(x+h)]$ $\frac{1}{h^2}[u(x+h,y)+u(x-h,y)+u(x,y+h)+u(x,y-h)-4u(x,y)]$ then, in terms of our lattice points, the equation $u_{xx} + u_{yy} \!=\! 0$ translates into

$$
u_{m+1,n} + u_{m-1,n} + u_{m,n+1} + u_{m,n-1} - 4u_{m,n} = 0.
$$

Spelling out these equation for each $m \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $n = 1$, we get 3 equations for our 3 unknown values:

$$
u_{2,1} + u_{0,1} + u_{1,2} + u_{1,0} - 4u_{1,1} = 0
$$

\n
$$
u_{3,1} + u_{1,1} + u_{2,2} + u_{2,0} - 4u_{2,1} = 0
$$

\n
$$
u_{4,1} + u_{2,1} + u_{3,2} + u_{3,0} - 4u_{3,1} = 0
$$

\n
$$
u_{4,1} + u_{2,1} + u_{3,2} + u_{3,0} - 4u_{3,1} = 0
$$

In matrix-vector form, these linear equations take the form:

$$
\begin{bmatrix} -4 & 1 & 0 \ 1 & -4 & 1 \ 0 & 1 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{1,1} \ u_{2,1} \ u_{3,1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -6 \ -5 \ -9 \end{bmatrix}
$$